

Reprinted and shared with permission

Event Audit

April 26, 2012 First Annual Conservation Awareness and Fundraising Forum

SUMMARY

Event Objectives

- Fill auditorium to capacity (385 people) to maximize opportunity to cultivate new supporters and steward current supporters
- Obtain contact information for as many new supporters would fill auditorium to capacity
- Steward 400 current supporters in St. Louis Region by inviting them to event
- Provide Board members with opportunity to introduce 10 people in their circles to FCER mission
- Generate sufficient funds via underwriting to pay for event
- Fund target was uncertain because first time for event, but general thought was that \$10,000 raised would be success.
- Launch rebrand and get new image out before event (very tight timeframe)
- Create credibility by affiliating ourselves publically with major St. Louis institutions
- Develop volunteer base

Event Results

- Event was booked to capacity (385 people) but 20% did not show.
- Generated 295 new contacts
- Cultivated 242 new potential supporters and stewarded 92 current supporters.
- Stewarded all St. Louis area donors (via save-the-date, invite, personal touch and/or attendance)
- Generated 74 new donors
- Covered 80% of event expenses with underwriting
- Raised \$17,925.48 (\$9,722.10 from new donors)
- Widespread name and rebrand recognition achieved through public radio PSAs and other media promotion
- Achieved the support of St. Louis' large institutions including MoBot, Zoo, Academy of Science and UMSL/Whitney R. Harris

Results Analysis: Quantifiable Measures

Objective	Achieved	Detail
Fill auditorium/obtain contact	95%	Obtained contact information on 295 new
information for 300 new supporters		individuals.
Steward 400 current supporters in	100%	All St. Louis area supporters touched with invite



St. Louis area		
Have each board member bring 10	50%	Board members brought a total of 55 individuals
people to FCER mission		to the event.
Generate sufficient underwriting to	80%	Event cost was \$23,716.12 (exclusive of Laurie
pay for event		and Kate's time). Event underwriting (in kind
		and cash) was \$19,702.00.
Raise funds	210%	Anticipated fundraising was \$10,000; actual was
		over \$21,000 (\$17,000 net after payment of
		remaining event expenses)
Institutional support	100%	Achieved support of Zoo, Academy of Science,
		UMSL, and MoBot
Rebranding	100%	Accomplished rebranding on 3 month timeline
		instead of typical 12 month timeline

CULTIVATION and STEWARDSHIP

Summary:

480 people registered for the event; 295 were new to FCER; 185 were current supporters.

334 people attended the event; 242 were new to FCER; 92 were current supporters

Registration Numbers

Total number that completed registration process		480
Total registered and expected to attend	380	
Total registered at door (admitted, but not all registered)	15	
Total registered but wait listed (not admitted)	18	
Total registered but then revoked (after email bump)	67	

Registered but No Show

Total no shows (despite active registration)	91
--	----

New Contacts

Total number that completed registration process	480
Total new contacts	295
Total current contacts	185





Attendance Numbers

Total attended	334
New supporters	242
Current supporters	92

Invitations and Promotion Sent

Printed	1600
Academy of Science	13,000
Whitney R. Harris	7,000
Other unknown (contacted 30 local organizations;	
put on 7 online calendars; press releases sent to 15	
media outlets)	

Registration Sources: Registrants identified how they were invited

Total number that completed registration process	480
Academy of Science	106
From a friend	62
Other (with no specification)	62
FCER Invite (email 14; direct mail 29)	43
Janet Dittrich and Fulton School (donating kids)	20
Whitney R. Harris/UMSL	11
Misc. (WFM/Media/Flyer/FGG/Gateway parrot/no	33
source)	
Board/Staff/Volunteers	
Kate Danna	34
Laurie Waller	26
Cindy Waller	16
Carol Weisman	17
Maggie Eisenberger	15
Dee Dee Simon	12
Critti Oliver	7
Tom Newmark	7
Gonz/Anne Fernandez	4
Peter Raven	4
Michael Salsich	1

3



Registration Method

Online	571
Snail mail	20
At door	30 approx.

INCOME and EXPENSES

Summary:

Pre-Event expenses (in kind and out of pocket):	(\$23,716.12) ¹
Post-Event expenses:	TBD
Event underwriting (in kind and cash):	\$19,702.00
Total donations (exclusive of underwriting):	\$21,939.60
Total profit:	<u>\$17,925.48</u>

Staff Time and Cost (pre event):

Cindy:	193 (@ $$18.75$ per hour = $$3,618.75$)
Kate:	200 (@ $$25$ per hour = $$5,000$)
Laurie:	250 (@\$40 per hour = \$10,000)
Total:	643 hours = \$18,618.75

Staff Time and Cost (post event): TBD

Volunteer Time: 100 (Dee Dee)

Cash Underwriting Breakdown

Total underwriting	\$14,800
Underwriting from Board	\$8750
Underwriting from current supporters (non-board)	\$6050

¹ Includes Cindy's time. Does not include Laurie and Kate's time.



Underwriting from new supporters	\$0	
----------------------------------	-----	--

In-Kind Underwriting

1 otal in-kind underwriting \$4,902	Total in-kind underwriting	\$4,902
-------------------------------------	----------------------------	---------

Expenses

Total expenses	\$23,716.12 ²
Out of pocket (includes admin. asst. time)	\$18,814.12
In-kind (donated services)	\$4,902.00

Donation Breakdown: Timing

Total donations	\$21,989.60
Pre event (13)	\$2,490
At event (101)	\$17,849.60
Matching donations (not yet received) (2)	\$1,250
After event (1)	\$400

Donation Breakdown: New vs. Current Supporters

Total donations (124)	\$21,989.60
New supporters (74)	\$9,722.10
Current supporters (50)	\$12,267.50

Donation Breakdown: Board and Staff v. Non-Board and Staff Donations

Total donations	\$21,989.60
Donations: board	\$3650
Donations: staff	\$1400
Donations: non-board and staff	\$16,939.60

² Includes Cindy's time. Does not include Laurie and Kate's time.



Donation Breakdown: Giving Levels

Total donations	\$21,989.60
> \$99 (55 gifts42 new; 13 current)	\$2280
\$100-\$249 (43 gifts22 new; 21 current)	\$4470
\$250-499 (11 gifts5 new; 6 current)	\$2907.50
\$500-999 (8 gifts4 new; 4 current)	\$3632.10
>\$1000 (7 gifts3 new; 4 current)	\$8700

Follow-up Letters Sent to Non-Donating Registrants (whether attending or not)

Follow-up letter to attending non-donors	82donations TBD
Follow-up letter to no-shows	98donations TBD

POST-EVENT FOLLOW UP: THE KEY TO MAKING THE MOST OF THE EVENT

Follow-Up Performed

Vermete Creekan (Deter and Det Deven)	Casta Disan abasalatas and thank way note from
Keynote Speaker (Peter and Pat Raven)	Costa Rican chocolates and thank you note from
	board chair; photo collage
Ask Speaker (Tom and Terry Newmark)	Costa Rican chocolates and President note
Event chairperson (Dee Dee Simon)	Costa Rican chocolates and President note
Institutional Supporters (4+)	Personal visit from Laurie; Costa Rican chocolates
	and President note; personalized email to each
	person at institution
Underwriters	Thank you call, note and copy of program
Ambassadors (11)	Thank you call from Laurie and personalized note
	in connection with their donation
Volunteers (21)	Personalized email from Laurie
Forever Forest Group (6)	Personalized email from Laurie to each kid
Registrants: Attending Donors (current supporters)	Thank you calls and thank you letters (modified
(50)	from general letter)
Registrants: Attending Donors (new supporters)	Thank you calls and thank you letter
(74)	
Registrants: Attending Non-Donors (82)	Thank you solicitation letter
Registrants: Wait Listed (18)	Sorry you were wait listed letter
Registrants: No shows (98)	No show letter

6



EVENT STRENGTHS and WEAKNESSES

Strengths:

- Polished, professional event created excellent image of FCER and built trust with attendees
- Created trust and relationships with major institutional supporters in St. Louis. Laid foundation for future support.
- Heavy promotion of event made FCER name heard widely in St. Louis region and resulted in good attendance
- Mandatory online registration process meant capture of complete and clean contact information for 295 new individuals
- Effective use of nametags (with FCER Supporter designation) and explanation of this designation in Laurie's introduction reinforced stewardship opportunity for current supporters
- Soft ask resulted in donations from 74 new donors and raised over \$17,000

Weaknesses:

- Event committee was understaffed and event consequently required too much staff time. More volunteers and/or outsourcing needed.
- Expenses not entirely covered by underwriting. More underwriting needed (especially from non-Board sources)
- Information presented was somewhat technical for a broader audience--may want to limit this in the future.
- "Free" event meant a large number of no-shows (20% registered guests did not attend)
- Zoo location meant expensive catering
- High printing/postage costs for STD/invite mailings, but low number of mailed in response cards.
- Post-Event PR planning (getting reporters actually at event)
- Extensive time needed for follow-up. More Board volunteers needed